# Convergence from Approximating Subspaces 

Frank Deutsch<br>Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802.<br>AND<br>Eitan Lapidot<br>44A Eder Street, Haifa, Israel<br>Communicated by E. W. Cheney

Received July 25, 1980

## 1. Introduction

Curtis [3] has considered the following problem. For each positive integer $n$, let $E_{n}$ be a finite subset of the closed interval $[-1,1]$ containing at least $n$ points. For each real-valued continuous function $x$ on $[-1,1]$, let $P_{n}(x)$ denote the unique polynomial of degree at most $n-1$ which best approximates $x$ uniformly over the set $E_{n}$. Letting $\|x\|=\sup \{\mid x(t) \|-1 \leqslant t \leqslant 1\}$, Curtis's main theorem states that the following two conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $x$ continuous on $[-1,1]$;
(2) There exists a constant $K$ such that, for each $n=1,2, \ldots$, if $p$ is polynomial of degree at most $n-1$ and $|p(t)| \leqslant 1$ for all $t \in E_{n}$, then $\|p\| \leqslant K$.

A classical result of Faber [6] states that if each $E_{n}$ contains exactly $n$ points, then (1) fails for some $x$. Curtis [3; Theorem 1] shows that (1) also fails if each $E_{n}$ contains at most $n+1$ points. On the other hand, a result of Bernstein [1; pp. 55-57] states that if $\lambda>1$ is fixed and $m_{n}>\lambda n$ for every $n$, then a sequence $\left(E_{n}\right)$ of subsets of $[-1,1]$, with $E_{n}$ containing $m_{n}$ points, can be chosen so that (2) is satisfied.

It is the purpose of this note to present a generalization of Curtis's theorem to an arbitrary Banach space setting (Theorem 2.5). It is interesting to note that this theorem is a type of "uniform boundedness" principle, except that is applies to a certain sequence of (generally nonlinear) metric
projections. One consequence of this result is the Erdös-Turán Theorem [5] which states that a certain sequence of interpolating polynomials to a given continuous function on $[0,1]$ converges, in the $L_{2}$-norm, to the function (Example 2.9). In Section 3, a variant of Theorem 2.5 is established (Theorem 3.1). This theorem is also related to one of Kripke [7] which states that to find a best approximation from a finite dimensional subspace of a normed linear space $X$ to a given element in $X$, it is possible to replace this by the (often easier) problem of finding best approximations relative to a sequence of seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{k}$ on $X$ with $\|\cdot\|_{k} \rightarrow\|\cdot\|$. Several examples are given to show that the hypotheses in Theorem 3.1 cannot be dropped.

## 2. A Convergence Theorem

In this section, unless otherwise stated, we assume the following hypotheses:
(i) $X$ is a normed linear space;
(ii) $\left(M_{n}\right)$ is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of $X$;
(iii) $\left(\Gamma_{n}\right)$ is a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces of the dual space $X^{*}$;
(iv) for each positive integer $n$, a seminorm on $X$ is defined by

$$
\|x\|_{n}=\sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid f \in \Gamma_{n},\|f\| \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

(v) each $M_{n}$ is $\|\cdot\|_{n}$-Chebyshev, i.e., for each $x \in X$ there is a unique point $P_{n}(x) \in M_{n}$ such that

$$
\left.\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n}=d_{n}(x) \equiv \inf \left\{\|x-y\|_{n}\right\} y \in M_{n}\right\}
$$

The mapping $x \mapsto P_{n}(x)$ is called the metric projection onto $M_{n}$ relative to the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{n}$. It is easy to verify that $P_{n}$ is homogeneous, additive modulo $M_{n}$, and idempotent (i.e., $P_{n}(\alpha x)=\alpha P_{n}(x), P_{n}(x+y)=P_{n}(x)+y$ for all $x \in X, y \in M_{n}$, and $P_{n}^{2}=P_{n}$ ), but $P_{n}$ is not linear in general. The norm of $P_{n}$ is defined by

$$
\left\|P_{n}\right\|=\sup \left\{\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\| \mid x \in X,\|x\| \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

By the homogeneity of $P_{n}$, it follows that $\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\| \leqslant\left\|P_{n}\right\|\|x\|$ for every $x$.
2.1. Lemma. The seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ is actually a norm on $M_{n}$. That is, $y \in M_{n}$ and $\|y\|_{n}=0$ implies $y=0$.

Proof. Suppose $\|y\|_{n}=0$ for some $y \in M_{n}$. Then

$$
\left\|x-\left(P_{n}(x)+y\right)\right\|_{n} \leqslant\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n}+\|y\|_{n}=\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n} .
$$

By uniqueness of best approximations, $P_{n}(x)+y=P_{n}(x)$, i.e., $y=0$.
The next lemma is another way of stating that each mapping $P_{n}$ is an "open mapping" with the same "openness constant" (viz. 2).
2.2. Lemma. For each positive integer $n$ and each $y \in M_{n}$ with $\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 1$, there exists an $x \in X$ with $\|x\| \leqslant 2$ and $P_{n}(x)=y$.

Proof. Given $y \in M_{n}$ with $\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 1$, define $G=G_{y}$ on $\Gamma_{n}$ by

$$
G(f)=f(y) \quad\left(f \in \Gamma_{n}\right) .
$$

Then $G$ is linear and

$$
\sup _{\substack{f \in \Gamma_{n} \\ \mid f \|=1}}|G(f)|=\sup _{\substack{\left.f \in \Gamma_{n} \\ \| f\right)_{n}=1}}|f(y)|=\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 1 .
$$

Thus $G \in \Gamma_{n}^{*}$ and $\|G\| \leqslant 1$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem $G$ has a normpreserving extension (also denoted by $G$ ) in $X^{* *}$. Since $\Gamma_{n}$ is finite dimensional, Helly's theorem (see, e.g., [4; pp. 86, 87]) implies that there is an $x \in X$ with $f(x)=G(f)$ for $f \in \Gamma_{n}$, and $\|x\| \leqslant\|G\|+1 \leqslant 2$. Hence

$$
f(x-y)=f(x)-f(y)=G(f)-G(f)=0
$$

for all $f \in \Gamma_{n}$. Thus $\|x-y\|_{n}=0$ and hence $y=P_{n}(x)$.
From Lemma 2.1 and the fact that all norms on a finite dimensional space are equivalent, it follows that there is a constant $K_{n}$ such that

$$
\|y\| \leqslant K_{n}\|y\|_{n} \quad\left(y \in M_{n}\right) .
$$

The next result gives a condition equivalent to when a single constant works for every $n$.
2.3. Lemma. The following statements are equivalent.
(1) There is a constant $K$ such that, for every $n,\|y\| \leqslant K\|y\|_{n}$ $\left(y \in M_{n}\right)$;
(2) There is a constant $K$ such that, for every $n, y \in M_{n}$ and $\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 1$ implies $\|y\| \leqslant K$;
(3) $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|<\infty$.

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is obvious.
$(1) \Rightarrow(3)$. Assuming condition (1), we have

$$
\left\|P_{n}\right\|=\sup _{\|x\| \leqslant 1}\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\| \leqslant \sup _{\|x\| \leqslant 1} K\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n}=K \sup _{\|x\| \leqslant 1}\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n} .
$$

If $\|x\| \leqslant 1$, then

$$
\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n} \leqslant\left\|P_{n}(x)-x\right\|_{n}+\|x\|_{n} \leqslant 2\|x\|_{n} \leqslant 2\|x\| \leqslant 2 .
$$

So $\left\|P_{n}\right\| \leqslant 2 K$. Thus (3) holds.
(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $K=2 \sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|, y \in M_{n}$, and $\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 1$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists $x \in X$ with $P_{n}(x)=y$ and $\|x\| \leqslant 2$. Thus

$$
\|y\|=\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\| \leqslant\left\|P_{n}\right\|\|x\| \leqslant K
$$

2.4. Lemma. Consider the following statements.
(1) $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|<\infty$;
(2) $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\|<\infty$ for every $x \in X$;
(3) $\lim _{n}\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|=0$ for every $x \in X$.

Then (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2).
Suppose, moreover, that $\cup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is dense in $X$. Then $(1) \Rightarrow$ (3) and if, in addition, $X$ is complete, $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. In particular, if $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is dense and $X$ is complete, then all three statements are equivalent.

Proof. The implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) are trivial.
For the remainder of the proof, we assume that $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is dense in $X$.
$(1) \Rightarrow(3)$. Let $K=\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|, x \in X$, and $\varepsilon>0$. Choose $y \in \bigcup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}$ so that $\|x-y\|<\varepsilon(1+K)^{-1}$. Then $y \in M_{n}$ for $n$ sufficiently large so, for such $n$, using the additivity modulo $M_{n}$ of $P_{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\| & \leqslant\|x-y\|+\left\|y-P_{n}(x)\right\| \\
& =\|x-y\|+\left\|P_{n}(y-x)\right\| \\
& <\varepsilon(1+K)^{-1}+K \varepsilon(1+K)^{-1}=\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, (3) holds.
Now assume also that $X$ is complete.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. If (2) holds, define

$$
X_{k}=\left\{x \in X \mid \sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\| \leqslant k\right\}
$$

Clearly, $X=\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} X_{k}$. By the standard compactness argument that shows that the (usual) metric projection onto a finite dimensional Chebyshev subspace
is continuous, one can verify that $P_{n}$ is $\|\cdot\|$ to $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ continuous, and hence (using Lemma 2.1 and the equivalence of norms on $M_{n}$ ), $P_{n}$ is $\|\cdot\|$ to $\|\cdot\|$ continuous. From this fact it follows that $X_{k}$ is closed. By the Baire Category Theorem, there is an integer $k_{0}$, an $x_{0} \in X_{k_{0}}$, and $\varepsilon>0$ so that the ball

$$
B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right) \equiv\left\{x \in X \mid\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|<\varepsilon\right\}
$$

is contained in $X_{k_{0}}$. By the denseness of $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}$, we may assume that $x_{0} \in M_{N}$ for some $N$. We have

$$
\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}(y)\right\| \leqslant k_{0} \quad\left(y \in B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)\right) .
$$

Thus if $n \geqslant N$ and $y \in B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$, then $x_{0} \in M_{n} \cap X_{k_{0}}$ so $x_{0}=P_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and

$$
\left\|P_{n}\left(y-x_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|P_{n}(y)-x_{0}\right\| \leqslant\left\|P_{n}(y)\right\|+\left\|x_{0}\right\| \leqslant 2 k_{0} .
$$

Hence for $n \geqslant N$ and $z \in X$ with $\|z\|<\varepsilon, y=z+x_{0} \in B\left(x_{0}, \varepsilon\right)$ so

$$
\left\|P_{n}(z)\right\|=\left\|P_{n}\left(y-x_{0}\right)\right\| \leqslant 2 k_{0} .
$$

It follows by homogeneity of $P_{n}$ that

$$
\left\|P_{n}(u)\right\| \leqslant \frac{2 k_{0}}{\varepsilon} \quad \text { for all } \quad u \in B(0,1)
$$

Thus $\left\|P_{n}\right\| \leqslant 2 k_{0} / \varepsilon$ for $n \geqslant N$ implies $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|<\infty$.
Remark. Note that the equivalence of (1) and (2) is a "uniform boundedness" principle for the (generally nonlinear) operators $P_{n}$.

Combining Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 we immediately obtain the main result.
2.5. Theorem. Let $X$ be a Banach space and suppose $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is dense in $X$. Then the following statements are equivalent.
(1) There exists a constant $K$ such that, for each $n, y \in M_{n}$ and $\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 1$ imply $\|y\| \leqslant K$;
(2) There exists a constant $K$ such that, for each $n$ and each $y \in M_{n}$, $\|y\| \leqslant K\|y\|_{n} ;$
(3) $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\|<\infty$ for every $x \in X$;
(4) $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|<\infty$;
(5) $\lim _{n}\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|=0$ for every $x \in X$.

For the following result, let $T$ be a locally compact Hausdorff space and let $C_{0}(T)$ denote the linear space of all real-valued continuous functions $x$ on
$T$ "vanishing at infinity," i.e., $\{t \in T||x(t)| \geqslant \varepsilon\}$ is compact for each $\varepsilon>0$. With the norm $\|x\|=\sup \{\mid x(t) \| t \in T\}, C_{0}(T)$ is a Banach space. If $T$ is actually compact, then $C_{0}(T)$ reduces to the space of all real-valued continuous functions on $T$, and is also denoted by $C(T)$. Let $\left(M_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of finite dimensional Haar subspaces of $C_{0}(T)$ whose union $\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is dense in $C_{0}(T)$. (Recall that an $n$ dimensional subspace $M$ of $C_{0}(T)$ is called a Haar subspace iff each nonzero element of $M$ has at most $n-1$ zeros. Furthermore, a finite dimensional subspace of $C_{0}(T)$ is a Haar subspace iff it is a Chebyshev subspace.) For each integer $n$, let $E_{n}$ be a finite subset of $T$ which contains at least $\operatorname{dim} M_{n}$ points. For each $n$ we define a seminorm on $C_{0}(T)$ by

$$
\|x\|_{n}=\sup \left\{\|x(t)\| t \in E_{n}\right\} .
$$

For a given $x \in C_{0}(T)$, let $P_{n}(x)$ denote the unique element of $M_{n}$ which is closest to $x$ relative to the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ :

$$
\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n}=\inf \left\{\|x-y\|_{n} \mid y \in M_{n}\right\} .
$$

(This makes sense since $\left.M_{n}\right|_{E_{n}}$ is a Haar subspace in $\left.C_{0}(T)\right|_{E_{n}}=C\left(E_{n}\right)$.)

### 2.6. Corollary. The following statements are equivalent.

(1) There is a constant $K$ such that, for each $n, y \in M_{n}$ and $|y(t)| \leqslant 1$ for all $t \in E_{n}$ implies $\|y\| \leqslant K$;
(2) $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|<\infty$;
(3) $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}(x)\right\|<\infty$ for each $x \in C_{0}(T)$;
(4) $\lim _{n}\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|=0$ for each $x \in C_{0}(T)$.

Proof. We will exhibit a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $\Gamma_{n}$ of the dual space $C_{0}(T)^{*}$ such that for each $n$ and each $x \in C_{0}(T)$,

$$
\sup \left\{|x(t)| \mid t \in E_{n}\right\}=\sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid f \in \Gamma_{n},\|f\| \leqslant 1\right\}
$$

i.e., $\|x\|_{n}=\sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid f \in \Gamma_{n},\|f\| \leqslant 1\right\}$. Having done this, the result is then an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.5. Let

$$
\Gamma_{n}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\delta_{t} \mid t \in E_{n}\right\}
$$

where $\delta_{t}$ denotes the functional "evaluation at $t$." For each $x \in C_{0}(T)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{t_{i} \in E_{n}} \alpha_{i} \delta_{t_{i}}(x)\right| \leqslant \sum\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\left|x\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \leqslant \sum\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\|x\|_{n} \leqslant \sum\left|\alpha_{i}\right|\|x\| \tag{2.6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Urysohn's lemma we can choose $x \in C_{0}(T)$ with $\|x\| \leqslant 1$ and $x\left(t_{i}\right)=\operatorname{sgn} \alpha_{i}$ for all $t_{i} \in E_{n}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{t_{i} \in E_{n}} \alpha_{i} x\left(t_{i}\right)\right|=\sum\left|\alpha_{i}\right| . \tag{2.6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using relations (2.6.1) and (2.6.2), we get that $\left\|\sum_{t_{i} \in E_{n}} \alpha_{i} \delta_{t_{i}}\right\|=\sum\left|\alpha_{i}\right|$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid f \in \Gamma_{n},\|f\| \leqslant 1\right\} \\
&=\sup \left\{\left|\sum \alpha_{i} x\left(t_{i}\right)\right|\left|t_{i} \in E_{n}, \sum\right| \alpha_{i} \mid \leqslant 1\right\} \\
& \leqslant \sup \left\{\left|x\left(t_{i}\right)\right| \mid t_{i} \in E_{n}\right\} \\
& \leqslant \sup \left\{\left|\sum \alpha_{i} x\left(t_{i}\right)\right|\left|t_{i} \in E_{n}, \sum\right| \alpha_{i} \mid \leqslant 1\right\} \\
&=\sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid f \in \Gamma_{n},\|f\| \leqslant 1\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\sup \left\{|f(x)| \mid f \in \Gamma_{n},\|f\| \leqslant 1\right\}=\sup \left\{|x(t)| \mid t \in E_{n}\right\} .
$$

2.7. Remarks. (1) If $M_{n}$ is an $n$ dimensional Haar subspace in $C[a, b]$ and $E_{n}$ is a subset of $[a, b]$ consisting of $n$ points (resp. $n+1$ points), then $P_{n}(x)$ is the unique element in $M_{n}$ interpolating $x$ on $E_{n}$ (resp. $M_{n}$ is a hyperplane in $C\left(E_{n}\right)$ ). In either case, $P_{n}$ is linear. By a result of Kharshiladze and Lozinski (cf., e.g., [2; p. 214]) condition (2) of Corollary 2.6 fails. Thus condition (4) also fails. This last remark yields an alternate proof to a result of Curtis [3; Theorem 1] (who stated it in the particular case when $T=$ $[-1,1]$ and $M_{n}=\prod_{n-1}$ is the space of polynomials of degree at most $n-1$ ).
(2) In the particular case when $T=[-1,1]$ and $M_{n}=\prod_{n-1}$, Curtis proved the equivalence of conditions (1) and (4) in Corollary 2.6 [3; Theorem 2].

We next give two "indirect" applications of Theorem 2.5. These applications are indirect because the seminorms are not defined by finite dimensional subspaces $\Gamma_{n}$ of the dual space; however, since the validity of Lemma 2.2 and the inequality $\|x\|_{n} \leqslant\|x\|$ can be readily verified, Lemma 2.3 and hence Theorem 2.5 are applicable.
2.8. Example. Let $X=C[0,1]$ and $M_{n}=\prod_{n-1}(n=2,3, \ldots)$. For every integer $n \geqslant 2$, let $p=p(n)$ be the smallest even integer such that for every $y \in M_{n} \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{p} /\|y\|>1-1 / n \tag{2.8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|y\|_{p}=\left[\int_{0}^{1}|y(t)|^{p} d t\right]^{1 / p}$. Set $m=m(n)=\frac{1}{2}[(n-1) p+2]$. For every $x \in X$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{n}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i m} x^{p}\left(t_{i m}\right)\right]^{1 / p} \tag{2.8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{t_{1 m}, t_{2 m}, \ldots, t_{m m}\right\}$ are the roots of the $m$ th orthogonal polynomial on $[0,1]$ and the $a_{i m}(i=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are the Gaussian integration coefficients. For any $y \in M_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|y\|_{p}=\|y\|_{n} \tag{2.8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since (2.8.2) is an exact integration formula for all polynomials of degree $2 m-1(>(n-1) p)$. Since $a_{i m}>0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i m}=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{n} \leqslant\|x\|, \quad x \in X \tag{2.8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given any $y \in M_{n}$ with $\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 1$, it follows from (2.8.1) and (2.8.3) that $\|y\| \leqslant 2\|y\|_{n} \leqslant 2$. Thus Lemma 2.2 holds with $x=y$. Using this and (2.8.4), it follows that Lemma 2.3 is valid. As mentioned in the preceding paragraph, Theorem 2.5 is now applicable. Thus we conclude: if $P_{n}(x)$ denotes the best approximation to $x$ from $M_{n}$ (relative to the seminorm $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ ), then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|=0, \quad x \in X
$$

2.9. Example. Fix any even integer $p$. Let $X$ denote the set of all realvalued continuous functions $x$ on $[0,1]$ with the norm $\|x\|_{p}$, where $\|x\|_{p}$, $M_{n}$, and $\|x\|_{n}$ are defined as in Example 2.8. (Note that $X$ is not complete.) However, by an argument similar to that in 2.8 (where here (2.8.1) is replaced by $\|y\|_{n} /\|y\|_{p}=1, y \in M_{n}$ ) we have that Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4 are valid. Since $\cup_{n=2}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is dense in $X$, Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 implies that $\lim _{n}\left\|x-P_{n}(x)\right\|=0$ for all $x \in X$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{1}\left|x(t)-\left(P_{n} x\right)(t)\right|^{p} d t=0 \tag{2.9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the particular case when $p=2$, it follows that $m=n, P_{n}(x)$ is the polynomial of degree $n-1$ which interpolates to $x$ at the points $t_{1 n}, t_{2 n}, \ldots, t_{n n}$, and the Erdös-Turán Theorem [5] results (see also [2; p. 137]).

## 3. A Variant of Theorem 2.5

In this section we will consider the case when $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is not dense in $X$, i.e., $M \equiv \bigcup_{n} \bar{M}_{n}$ is a proper closed subspace of $X$. We will prove a variant of

Theorem 2.5. Then, by means of examples, we will show that each of the hypotheses is essential.
3.1. Theorem. Let $X$ be a Banach space and let $M_{n},\|\cdot\|_{n}$, and $P_{n}$ be defined as in Section 2. Suppose that, for every $x \in X$, there is a subsequence $\left\{n_{k}\right\}$ of the natural numbers with
(i) $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\|x\|_{n_{k}}=\|x\|, x \in X$;
(ii) there exists $x_{0} \in M \equiv \bar{\bigcup}_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{M}_{n} \quad$ such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|_{n_{k}}=0$.

Then $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|=d(x, M)$.
Suppose, in addition,
(iii) one of the statements of Lemma 2.3 holds.

Then $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|=0$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|=d(x, M)$.
Proof. Let $y \in M$. Then

$$
\|x-y\| \geqslant\|x-y\|_{n_{k}} \geqslant\left\|x-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|_{n_{k}} \geqslant\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|_{n_{k}}-\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|_{n_{k}}
$$

Passing to the limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and using (i) and (ii), we get $\|x-y\| \geqslant$ $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|$. Thus $x_{0}$ is a best approximation to $x$ from $M$, i.e., $\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|=$ $d(x, M)$.

Assume, in addition, (iii). Hence there exists a constant $K$ such that, for every $n$ and every $y \in M_{n},\|y\| \leqslant K\|y\|_{n}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\| & \leqslant\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|+\left\|P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\| \\
& \leqslant\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|+K\left\|P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|_{n_{k}} \\
& \leqslant\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|+K\left[\left\|P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)-x_{0}\right\|_{n_{k}}+\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|_{n_{k}}\right] \\
& \leqslant(1+K)\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|+K\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|_{n_{k}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (ii), $\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\|_{n_{k}} \rightarrow 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $\sup _{n}\left\|P_{n}\right\|<\infty$ and hence, applying Lemma 2.4 to $M$ instead of $X$, we deduce that $\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\| \rightarrow 0$. Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(x, M) & \leqslant\left\|x-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\| \leqslant\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|+\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\| \\
& =d(x, M)+\left\|x_{0}-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\| \rightarrow d(x, M)
\end{aligned}
$$

implies $\left\|x-P_{n_{k}}(x)\right\| \rightarrow d(x, M)$.
3.2. Corollary. Suppose that conditions (i), (ii), and (iii) of Theorem 3.1 hold. Then, for each $x \in X$, some subsequence of the sequence $\left\{P_{n}(x)\right\}$ converges (in the norm of $X$ ) to a best approximation to $x$ from $M$.

Kripke [7] has shown that if $X$ is a finite dimensional normed linear space, $M_{0}$ is a subspace of $X, M_{n}=M_{0}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ is a seminorm on $M_{n}$, then condition (i) alone implies the conclusions of Theorem 3.1.

In contrast to this, it is shown in the following examples that none of the conditions (i), (ii), or (iii) can be dispensed with in general.
3.3. Example. Fix a positive integer $N$, let $X=\prod_{N}$ ( $\equiv$ the polynomials of degree at most $N$ ), and $M_{n}=\prod_{N-1}(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Thus $M=\overline{M_{n}}=$ $\Pi_{N-1}$. Let $E$ be a finite subset of $[0,1]$ such that, for every $x \in X$,

$$
\|x\|=\sup \{\|x(t)\| t \in[0,1]\} \leqslant 2\|x\|,
$$

where $\|\mid x\|=\sup \{\mid x(t) \| t \in E\}$. Set $\|x\|_{n}=\| \| x \|$ for every $n$. Given $x \in X$ with $0 \leqslant x \leqslant 1,\|x\|=1$, and $\left.x\right|_{E}=0$, it follows that $P_{n}(x)=0$ for all $n$. Let $x_{0}$ be the best approximation to $x$ from $M$ over $E$ :

$$
\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|_{n}=\inf _{y \in M}\|x-y\|_{n} .
$$

Thus $x_{0}=0$ and $\left\|x_{0}-P_{n}(x)\right\|=0=\left\|x_{0}-P_{n}(x)\right\|_{n}$ for all $n$. But

$$
\left\|x-x_{0}\right\|=1>\frac{1}{2} \geqslant d(x, M)
$$

since the constant function $y=\frac{1}{2}$ in $M_{n}$ satisfies $\|x-y\|=\frac{1}{2}$. Thus the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 fails although conditions (ii) and (iii) hold.

### 3.4. Example. Let

$$
X=\{x+\alpha h \mid x \in C[-1,1],-\infty<\alpha<\infty\},
$$

where $h(t)=1$ if $0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$ and $h(t)=0$ if $-1 \leqslant t<0$. Endow $X$ with the supremum norm. Let $E=\left\{t_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a dense sequence in $[-1,1]$ with $t_{1}=-1, t_{2}=0$, and $t_{3}=1$. For each $n \geqslant 3$, define

$$
E_{n}=\left\{t_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}=\left\{t_{i}^{(n)} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\},
$$

where the $t_{i}^{(n)}$ are orciered: $t_{1}^{(n)}<t_{2}^{(n)}<\cdots<t_{n}^{(n)}$. Let $M_{n}$ be the $n$ dimensional subspace of $X$ consisting of those functions which are linear in each of the intervals $\left[t_{i}^{(n)}, t_{i+1}^{(n)}\right](i=1,2, \ldots, n-1)$ and continuous on $[-1,1]$. Clearly, $\bigcup_{3}^{\infty} M_{n}$ is not dense in $X$. Define the seminorm $\|x\|_{n}=\sup \left\{\mid x(t) \| t \in E_{n}\right\}$. For any $x \in X$, the piecewise linear function $y \in M_{n}$ which agrees with $x$ on $E_{n}$ satisfies $\|x-y\|_{n}=0$. Thus $P_{n}(x)(t)=x(t)$ for all $t \in E_{n}$. For the function $h,\left\|h-P_{n}(h)\right\|=1$ for every $n$ while $d(h, M)=\frac{1}{2}$. Hence the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 fails although conditions (i) and (iii) hold.
3.5. Example. Let $X=C[-1,1], M_{n}=\prod_{n-1}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, and let $E=$ $\left\{t_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ be a dense sequence in $[-1,1]$. Define $E_{n}=\left\{t_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ and $\|x\|_{n}=\sup \left\{|x(t)| \mid t \in E_{n}\right\} \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)$. Clearly, $M_{n}$ is $\|\cdot\|_{n}$-Chebyshev. In fact, $P_{n}(x) \in M_{n}$ interpolates to $x$ on $E_{n}$. Since $M=\overline{\bigcup_{1}^{\infty} M_{n}}=C[0,1]$, we have $x_{0}=x$ for every $x \in X$. By the result of Faber mentioned in the Introduction, the conclusion of Theorem 3.1 fails for some $x$. However, conditions (i) and (ii) hold.
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